PTO/SB/17 (09-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FE	E TR	ANS	TIME	TAL
350 El	FOR	FY	200	2

``

Complete if Known

Application Number 09/500,747

Filing Date 9 February 2000

First Named Inventor Rajesh S. GOKHALE, et al.

Examiner Name Kathleen M. Kerr

1652

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 460.00 Attorney Docket No. 300622004600 AUG 2 0 2002

Group Art Unit

METHOD OF PAYMENT		FEE CALCULATION (continued)					
The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any overpayments to:	3. ADD	3. ADDITIONAL FEES			TECH CENTER 160		
Deposit Account Number 03-1952	Large Fee Code	Entity Fee (\$)	Small Fee Code	Entity Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid	
Deposit Account Name Morrison & Foerster LLP	105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath		
Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17	127	50	227	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet		
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27	139	130	139	130	Non-English specification		
2. Payment Enclosed:	147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination		
☐ Check ☐ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ Other	112	920	112	920	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action		
FEE CALCULATION	113	1,840	113	1,840	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action		
1. BASIC FILING FEE	115	110	215	55	Extension for reply within first month		
	116	400	216	200	Extension for reply within second month		
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$) Fee	117	920	217	460	Extension for reply within third month	460.00	
Paid	118	1,390	218	695	Extension for reply within fourth month		
101 710 201 355 Utility filing fee	128	1,890	228	945	Extension for reply within fifth month		
106 320 206 160 Design filing fee	119	310	219	155	Notice of Appeal	├ ──	
107 490 207 245 Plant filing fee	120	310	220	155	Filing a brief in support of an appeal		
108 710 208 355 Reissue filing fee	121	270	221	135	Request for oral hearing Petition to institute a public use	—— I	
114 150 214 75 Provisional filing fee	138	1,510	138	1,510	proceeding	$\sqcup \sqcup$	
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)0.00	140 141	110 1,280	240 241	55 620	Petition to revive - unavoidable Petition to revive - unintentional	- 	
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES	142	1,240	242	620	Utility issue fee (or reissue)		
Extra Fee from Fee Paid	143	440	243	220	Design issue fee		
Claims below	144	600	244	300	Plant issue fee	⊢	
Indonesidant	122	130	122	130	Petitions of the Commissioner	<u> </u>	
Claims					Petitions related to provisional		
Multiple Dependent = \$	123	50	123	50	applications Submission of Information		
0	126	180	126	180	Disclosure Stmt Recording each patent assignment	├ ─┤	
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$)	581	40	581	40	per properties (times number of properties)		
103 18 203 9 Claims in excess of 20	146	710	246	355	Filing a submission after final rejection		
102 80 202 40 Independent claims in excess of 3	149	710	249	355	(37 CFR § 1.129(a)) For each additional invention to be	 	
102 80 202 40 Independent claims in excess of 3 104 270 204 135 Multiple dependent claims, if not pa	1	710	279	355	examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b)) Request for Continued Examination	$\vdash \vdash \vdash \vdash \vdash$	
Paissus independent claims over					(RCE) Request for expedited examination	$\vdash \vdash \vdash \vdash \vdash$	
109 80 209 40 original patent	169	900	169	900	of a design application		
110 18 210 9 Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	0.11	· (anacit)				l	
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)0.00	Other fee	e (specify)					
** or number previously paid, if greater; For reissues, see above.	*Reduce	d by Basic F	iling Fee Pa	aid	SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)	460.00	
or manipur previously paid, in Breater, For ressues, see anote.		•			Complete (if applicable)		

SUBMITTED BY

Name (Print/Type)

Kate H. Murashige

Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)

Signature

Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)

Date

August 12, 2002

WARNING: Information on this formma) become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.





PTO/SB/21 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/02. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

		Applicati n Number 09/500,747		r unless it displays a valid OMB control number.				
TRANSMITTAL			Filing Date	9 February 2000		RECEIVED		
FORM			First Named Inventor	Rajesh :	CHALE, et al.			
			Group Art Unit	1652		AUG 2 () 2002		
(to be used for all com	espondence after init	tial filing)	Examiner Name	Kathlee	TECH CENTER 1600/2			
Total Number Of Pages In 1	This Submission	67	Attorney Docket No.	3006220	04600			
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)								
Fee Transmittal Fo	orm		signment Papers an Application)			After Allowance Communication to Group		
Fee Attach	ed	Cop.	by of Request for Corrected wing(s) Submitted 9/20/01		$\overline{\Box}$	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Repl	-		ensing-related Papers	Apr		Appeal Communication to Group		
After Final			ition			(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
	D Poi		ition to Convert to a			Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration	Pro		visional Application			Status Letter		
		ver of Attorney, Revocation ange of Correspondence Add	dress 🗷 Other E		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):			
		Ten	minal Disclaimer		×	Exhibit B- Chapter of a Thesis Premlinary Amendment with Sequence Listing Amendments		
Express Abandonn	nent Request	Req	uest for Refund		×	Declaration of Chaitan Khosla/Curriculum Vitae		
Information Disclos References/Transm		CD,	Number of CD(s)		×	New Abstract Return Postcard		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Remarks				-				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application								
Response to	o Missing Parts	!						
under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY OR AGENT								
Firm or	Morrison & Foer							
Individual Name 3811 Valley Centre Drive, Suite 500, San Diego, CA 92130								
	Kate H. Murashi	ge						
Signature Kate L. Munal								
Date August 12, 2002								
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "FIRST CLASS MAIL"								
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on August 12, 2002.								
Ruth Saskowski								

Burden Hours Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "FIRST CLASS MAIL"

I hereby certify the Spranger Spondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on August 12, 2002.

Ruth M. Saskowski

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Examiner: Kathleen M. Kerr

Group Art Unit: 1652

RECEIVED

AUG 2 0 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

In the application of:

Rajesh S. GOKHALE, et al.

Serial No.:

09/500,747

Filing Date:

9 February 2000

For:

METHODS TO MEDIATE POLYKETIDE

SYNTHASE MODULE EFFECTIVENESS

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

This is in response to an Office action herein mailed 12 February 2002, time for response to which was set to expire 12 May 2002. A petition for an extension of time of three (3) months until 12 August 2002 is attached hereto, along with the required fee. The considered claims, claims 23-39 were rejected; claims 40-44 were withdrawn from consideration.

Applicants are grateful for the thoughtfulness and courtesy extended their undersigned representative in an interview by Examiners Kerr and Nashed on July 8, and for the helpful follow-up discussion with regard to written description and enablement also discussed at the interview. It is appreciated that some outstanding issues were resolved and, to that extent, the response below is simply to complete the record. It appears that the only remaining substantive issue is directed to written description of the linkers themselves, particularly that aspect relating

to inter- and intra-molecular PKS linkers. Nevertheless, each written rejection is responded to below. Reconsideration of the application and allowance of all pending claims as amended are respectfully requested.

Enclosed is the following Exhibit A: Version With Markings To Show Changes Made.